arXiv:1511.02430v1 [math.AP] 8 Nov 2015

Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the higher-order KdV equations on the circle

Wei YAN[a], Minjie JIANG[a], Yongsheng LI[b] and Jianhua HUANG[c]

[a]School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P. R. China

[b]Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, P. R. China

[c]College of Science, National University of Defense and Technology, Changsha, Hunan 410073, P. R. China

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the Cauchy problem for the higher-order KdV-type equation

$$u_t + (-1)^{j+1}\partial_x^{2j+1}u + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2) = 0, j \in N^+, x \in \mathbf{T} = [0, 2\pi\lambda)$$

with low regularity data and $\lambda \geq 1$. Firstly, we show that the Cauchy problem for the periodic higher-order KdV equation is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq -j+\frac{1}{2}, j \geq 2$. By using some new Strichartz estimate and some new function spaces, we also show that the Cauchy problem for the periodic higher-order KdV equation is ill-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s < -j+\frac{1}{2}, j \geq 2$ in the sense that the solution map is C^3. The result of this paper improves the result of [7] with $j \geq 2$.

Keywords: Periodic higher-order KdV type equation; Cauchy problem; Low regularity

Short Title: Cauchy problem for higher-order KdV-type equation

Corresponding Author: W. YAN

Email Address:yanwei19821115@sina.cn

Fax number: +86-0373-3326174

AMS Subject Classification: 35G25

Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the higher-order KdV equations on the circle

Wei YAN[a], Minjie JIANG[a], Yongsheng LI[b] and Jianhua HUANG[c]

[a]School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P. R. China

[b]Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, P. R. China

[c]College of Science, National University of Defense and Technology, Changsha, Hunan 410073, P. R. China

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the Cauchy problem for the higher-order KdV-type equation

$$u_t + (-1)^{j+1}\partial_x^{2j+1}u + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2) = 0, j \in N^+, x \in [0, 2\pi\lambda)$$

with low regularity data. Firstly, we show that the Cauchy problem for the periodic higher-order KdV equation is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq -j + \frac{1}{2}, j \geq 2$. By using some new Strichartz estimate and some new function spaces, we also show that the Cauchy problem for the periodic higher-order KdV equation is ill-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s < -j + \frac{1}{2}, j \geq 2$ in the sense that the solution map is C^3. The result of this paper improves the result of [7] with $j \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the periodic higher-order KdV type equation

$$u_t + (-1)^{j+1}\partial_x^{2j+1}u + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{T} = [0, 2\pi\lambda), \tag{1.2}$$

where $j \geq 2, j \in N$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. When $j = 1$, equation (1.1) reduces to the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$u_t + \partial_x^3 u + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2) = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

KdV equation possesses the bi-Hamiltonian structure and completely integrable, thus, it possesses infinite conservation laws.

In recent some years, many people have paid more attention to the Cauchy problem for the KdV equation, for instance, see [3–5, 7, 13–15, 20] and the references therein. Using the Fourier restriction norm method introduced in [2, 3] by Bourgain, Kenig et. al. [13] proved that the Cauchy problem for the KdV equation is locally well-posed in H^s with $s > -\frac{3}{4}$ on the real line and the Cauchy problem for the periodic KdV equation is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. Bourgain [4] proved that the Cauchy problem for the periodic KdV equation is ill-posed in $H^s([0, 2\pi))$ with $s < -\frac{1}{2}$. By using the I-method, Colliander et.al. [5] proved that the Cauchy problem for the periodic KdV equation is globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. Recently, Kappeler and Topalov [10] proved that the Cauchy problem for the KdV equation is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq -1$. Guo [6] and Kishimoto [15] proved that the Cauchy problem for the KdV equation is globally well-posed in $H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})$. Molinet [18] proved that the Cauchy problem for the KdV equation is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq -1$ and ill-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s < -1$.

Using the Fourier restriction norm method, Hirayama [7] proved that (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq -\frac{j}{2}$. In this paper, as in [1, 12], combining the new function spaces introduced in this paper, the Strichartz estimate established in this paper with the fixed point Theorem, we show that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq -j + \frac{1}{2}$ with $j \geq 2, j \in N$; we also show that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is ill-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s < -j + \frac{1}{2}$ with $j \geq 2, j \in N$ in the sense that the solution map is C^3.

We give some notations before presenting the main results. $C > 0$ may vary from line to line. $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{100j}$. $A \sim B$ denotes that $|B| \leq |A| \leq 4|B|$. $A \gg B$ denotes that $|A| \geq 4|B|$. $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$. $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$. Throughout this paper, $\dot{Z} := Z - \{0\}$ and $\dot{Z}^+ := Z^+ - \{0\}$. Denote by $(dk)_\lambda$ the normalized counting measure on $\dot{Z}_\lambda = \frac{\dot{Z}}{\lambda}$:

$$\int a(k)(dk)_\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k \in \dot{Z}_\lambda} a(k).$$

Let

$$\mathscr{F}_x f(k) = \int_0^{2\pi\lambda} e^{-ikx} f(x) dx.$$

denote the Fourier transformation of a function f on $[0, 2\pi\lambda)$. Let

$$f(x) = \int e^{ikx} \mathscr{F}_x f(k)(dk)_\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k \in \dot{Z}_\lambda} e^{ikx} \mathscr{F}_x f(k).$$

Let

$$\mathscr{F}_t f(\tau) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-it\tau} f(t) dt$$

denote the Fourier transformation of a function f with the respect to the time variable.

Let

$$f(t) = \int e^{it\tau} \mathscr{F}_t f(\tau) d\tau.$$

Let

$$S(t)\phi(x) = \int e^{ikx} e^{i(-1)^{j+1} t k^{2j+1}} \mathscr{F}_x \phi(k)(dk)_\lambda.$$

We define the space-time Fourier transform $\mathscr{F} f(k, \tau)$ for $k \in \dot{Z}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\mathscr{F} f(k, \tau) = \int \int_0^{2\pi\lambda} e^{-ikx} e^{-i\tau t} f(x, t) dx dt$$

and this transformation is inverted by

$$f(x, t) = \int \int e^{ikx} e^{i\tau t} \mathscr{F} f(k, \tau)(dk)_\lambda d\tau.$$

It is easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{T})} = \|\mathscr{F}_x f\|_{L^2((dk)_\lambda)}, \\
&\int_0^{2\pi\lambda} f(x)\overline{g(x)} dx = \int \mathscr{F}_x f(k)\overline{\mathscr{F}_x f(k)}(dk)_\lambda, \\
&\mathscr{F}_x(fg) = \mathscr{F}_x f * \mathscr{F}_x g = \int \mathscr{F}_x f(k - k_1)\mathscr{F}_x g(k_1)(dk_1)_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
&P(k) = k^{2j+1}, \sigma = \tau - P(k), \quad \sigma_j = \tau_j - P(k_j), \\
&D_1 = \left\{(\tau, k) \in \mathbf{R} \times \dot{Z} : |\tau - P(k)| \le \frac{2(2j+1)}{3}|k|^{2j}, |k| \ge 1\right\}, \\
&D_2 = \left\{(\tau, k) \in \mathbf{R} \times \dot{Z} : \frac{2(2j+1)}{3}|k|^{2j} < |\tau - P(k)| \le 2(2j+1)|k|^{2j+1}, |k| \ge 1\right\}, \\
&D_3 = \left\{(\tau, k) \in \mathbf{R} \times \dot{Z} : |\tau - P(k)| > 2(2j+1)|k|^{2j+1}, |k| \ge 1\right\}, \\
&D_4 = \left\{(\tau, k) \in \mathbf{R} \times \dot{Z} : |\tau - P(k)| > 2(2j+1)|k|^{2j+1}, \frac{1}{\lambda} \le |k| \le 1\right\}, \\
&D_5 = \left\{(\tau, k) \in \mathbf{R} \times \dot{Z} : |\tau - P(k)| \le 2(2j+1)|k|^{2j+1}, \frac{1}{\lambda} \le |k| \le 1\right\}, \\
&\mathscr{F}(\Lambda^{-1})f = \langle\sigma\rangle^{-1} \mathscr{F} f.
\end{aligned}$$

We define the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})} = \|\langle k\rangle^s \mathscr{F}_x f(k)\|_{L^2(dk)_\lambda}$$

and define the $X_{s,b}$ spaces for $2\pi\lambda$-periodic KdV equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{R})} = \left\|\langle k\rangle^s \langle \tau - P(k)\rangle^b \mathscr{F}u(k,\tau)\right\|_{L^2(dk)_\lambda(d\tau)}.$$

We define the Z^s space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{Z^s} = \|P_{D_1\cup D_5}u\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}} + \|P_{D_2}u\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}} + \|P_{D_3\cup D_4}u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} + \|u\|_{Y^s},$$

where $j \geq 2$ and $\|u\|_{Y^s} = \|\langle k\rangle^s \mathscr{F}u(k,\tau)\|_{L^2(k)L^1(\tau)}$.
We define $Z^s([0,T])$ by the following norm:

$$\|u\|_{Z^s([0,T])} := \inf\{\|v\|_{Z^s} : \qquad u(t) = v(t) \qquad on \qquad t \in I\}.$$

The main result of this paper are as follow.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s \geq -j + \frac{1}{2}, j \geq 2$ and u_0 be $2\pi\lambda$-periodic function. Then the Cauchy problems (1.1)(1.2) are locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s < -j + \frac{1}{2}, j \geq 2$ and u_0 be 2π-periodic function. Then the solution map S_t: of the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is not C^3 at zero. More precisely, for any $T > 0$, the solution map $S_t : u_0 \in H^s(0,2\pi) \to u \in C([0,T]; H^s(0,2\pi))$ is not C^3 at zero.*

Remark: Theorem 1.1 is sharp in the sense of Theorem 1.2.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminaries. In Section 3, we establish three important bilinear estimates. In Section 4, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we present the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some preliminaries which palys a paramount role in establishing Lemmas 3.1, 3.2.

Lemma 2.1. *Let u_l with $l = 1, 2$ be $L^2(\dot{Z}\times\mathbf{R})$-real valued functions. Then for any $(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbf{N}^2$, we derive*

$$\|(\Psi_{l_1}u_1) * (\Psi_{l_2}u_2)\|_{L^2_{k\tau}} \leq C\left(2^{l_1}\wedge 2^{l_2}\right)^{1/2}\left(2^{l_1}\vee 2^{l_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(2j+1)}}\|\Psi_{l_1}u_1\|_{L^2}\|\Psi_{l_2}u_2\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.1, we refer the readers to Lemma 2.1 of [16].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u(x,t), v(x,t)$ be 2π-periodic functions and $a+b \geq \frac{j+1}{2j+1}$ and $\min\{a,b\} > \frac{1}{2(2j+1)}$. Then, we derive*

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{0,a}([0,2\pi)\times\mathbf{R})}\|v\|_{X_{0,b}([0,2\pi)\times\mathbf{R})}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|uv\|_{X_{0,-a}} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{0,b}([0,2\pi)\times\mathbf{R})}\|v\|_{L^2_{xt}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} &\leq C\sum_{l_1\geq 0}\sum_{l_2\geq 0}\|(\Psi_{l_1}u_1) * (\Psi_{l_2}u_2)\|_{L^2_{xt}} \\ &\leq C\sum_{l_1\geq 0}\sum_{l_2\geq 0}\left(2^{l_1}\wedge 2^{l_2}\right)^{1/2}\left(2^{l_1}\vee 2^{l_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(2j+1)}}\|\Psi_{l_1}u_1\|_{L^2}\|\Psi_{l_2}u_2\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

Let $M_j = 2^{l_j}$ with $j = 1, 2$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $M_1 \geq M_2$ and $M_1 = NM_2$ and $u_{M_j} = \Psi_{l_j}u_j$ with $j = 1, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} &\leq C\sum_{M_1,M_2\geq 1} M_1^{\frac{1}{2(2j+1)}}M_2^{1/2}\|u_{M_1}\|_{L^2}\|v_{M_2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\sum_{N,M_2\geq 1} M_2^{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}}N^{\frac{1}{2(2j+1)}}\|u_{NM_2}\|_{L^2}\|v_{M_2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\sum_{M_2,N\geq 1} N^{\frac{1}{2(2j+1)}-a}M_2^{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}-a-b}(NM_2)^a\|u_{NM_2}\|_{L^2}M_2^b\|v_{M_2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|u\|_{X_{0,a}([0,2\pi)\times\mathbf{R})}\|u\|_{X_{0,b}([0,2\pi)\times\mathbf{R})}. \end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

We can have (2.7) by duality.

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $u(x,t), v(x,t)$ be $2\pi\lambda$-periodic functions and $a+b \geq \frac{j+1}{2j+1}$ and $\min\{a,b\} > \frac{1}{2(2j+1)}$. Then, we obtain*

$$\|uv\|_{L^2_{xt}} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{0,a}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{R})}\|v\|_{X_{0,b}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{R})}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\|uv\|_{X_{0,-a}} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{0,b}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{R})}\|v\|_{L^2_{xt}}. \tag{2.7}$$

By using a similar technique of Lemma 3.4 in [18] and Lemma 2.2, we have Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $T > 0$ and $u(x,t), v(x,t)$ be $2\pi\lambda$-periodic functions. Then, we have*

$$\left\| \int_0^t S(t-\tau)\partial_x(uv)d\tau \right\|_{Z^s([0,T])} \leq C\|\partial_x\Lambda^{-1}(uv)\|_{Z^s([0,T])}.$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.4, we refer the readers to [1].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $j \geq 2, j \in Z$ and $u(x,t)$ be $2\pi\lambda$-periodic function. Then, we derive*

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2j}}} \leq C\|u\|_{Z^s} \leq C\|u\|_{X^{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}}(D_1 \bigcup D_2)} \leq C\|u\|_{Z^s(D_1 \bigcup D_2)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. We firstly have that (2.8). When $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u \subset D_1$, since $\frac{2j-1}{2j} \geq \frac{1}{2j}$, we derive that $\|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}} \geq \|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}$. When $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u \subset D_2$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we derive that $\langle\sigma\rangle^{s+\frac{2j-1}{2j}} \geq C\langle k\rangle^{2js+1}$ which yields that $\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}} \leq C\langle k\rangle^{(1-2j)s-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{s+1}$, thus, we derive that $\|u\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}} \geq \|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}$. When $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u \subset D_3$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we obtain that $\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}+\frac{2j-1}{2j}} \geq C\langle k\rangle^{s+1+\frac{s}{j}}$ which yields that $|k|^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}} \leq C\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}+1}$, thus, we have that $\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \geq \|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}$. Consequently, we have that $\|u\|_{Z^s} \geq C\|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}$. When $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u \subset D_2$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we obtain that $\langle\sigma\rangle^{s+\frac{2j-1}{2j}} \geq C\langle k\rangle^{2js+1}$ which yields that $\langle k\rangle^{(1-2j)s-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{s+1} \leq C\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}$, thus, we have that $\|u\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}$. When $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u \subset D_3$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we derive that $\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}-\frac{1}{2j}} \geq C\langle k\rangle^{-s-1-\frac{s}{j}}$ which yields that $\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}+1} \leq C\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}$, thus, we obtain that $\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}$. Consequently, we derive that $\|u\|_{Z^s} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}$. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality with respect to τ, we derive that $\|\langle k\rangle^s\mathscr{F}u\|_{l_k^2 l_\tau^1} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}$, consequently, we have that $\|u\|_{Z^s} \leq C\|u\|_{X^{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}$. Now we show (2.9). When $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u \subset D_1$, since $\frac{2j-1}{2j} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we derive that $\|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}} \geq \|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}$. When $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u \subset D_2$, since $s \geq -j+\frac{1}{2}$, we derive that $\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2} \leq C\langle k\rangle^{(1-2j)s-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{s+1}$, consequently, we derive that $\|u\|_{X_{(1-2j)s,\, s+1}} \geq \|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}$.

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Remark: The conclusion of case $j = 2$ of (2.8)-(2.9) can be found in [12].

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $T > 0$. Then, we have that*

$$\|S(t)\phi\|_{Z^s([0,T])} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}.$$

Proof. From the definition of Lemma 2.5, we have that $X^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}([0,T]) \hookrightarrow Z^s([0,T]) \hookrightarrow C([0,T]:H^s(\mathbf{T}))$.

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. *Let*

$$\sigma = \tau - k^{2j+1}, \sigma_1 = \tau_1 - k_1^{2j+1}, \sigma_2 = \tau_1 - k_2^{2j+1}.$$

Then, we have

$$3\max\left\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\right\} \geq |\sigma - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2| = \left|k^{2j+1} - k_1^{2j+1} - k_2^{2j+1}\right| \geq (2j+1)|kk_1^j k_2^j|.$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.7, we refer the readers to Lemma 2.4 of [17]. From Lemma 2.7, we have that one of three following cases must occur:

$$(a) : |\sigma| = \max\left\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\right\}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$(b) : |\sigma_1| = \max\left\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\right\}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$(c) : |\sigma_2| = \max\left\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\right\}. \tag{2.12}$$

3. Bilinear estimates

This section is devoted to establishing some significant bilinear estimates which are used to derive the Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $j \geq 2$ and $-j + \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$ and $u_j(x,t)(j = 1,2)$ be $2\pi\lambda$-periodic functions. Then, we have*

$$\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j) \right\|_{X^s} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|u_j\|_{Z^s}, \tag{3.1}$$

here $C > 0$, which is independent of λ, $\|\cdot\|_{X^s}$ is the norm removing $\|\cdot\|_{Y^s}$ from $\|\cdot\|_{Z^s}$.

Proof. Obviously, $\left(\mathbf{R}\times\dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2\subset\bigcup_{j=1}^{8}\Omega_j$, where

$$\Omega_1=\left\{(\tau_1,k_1,\tau,k)\in\left(\mathbf{R}\times\dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2:\max\{|k_1|,|k|\}\le 1\right\},$$
$$\Omega_2=\left\{(\tau_1,k_1,\tau,k)\in\left(\mathbf{R}\times\dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2\cap\Omega_1^c:|k_1|\sim|k_2|\gg|k|\ge 1\right\},$$
$$\Omega_3=\left\{(\tau_1,k_1,\tau,k)\in\left(\mathbf{R}\times\dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2\cap\Omega_1^c:|k_1|\sim|k_2|\gg|k|,1\ge|k|\ge\frac{1}{\lambda}\right\},$$
$$\Omega_4=\left\{(\tau_1,k_1,\tau,k)\in\left(\mathbf{R}\times\dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2\cap\Omega_1^c:|k|\sim|k_2|\gg|k_1|\ge 1\right\},$$
$$\Omega_5=\left\{(\tau_1,k_1,\tau,k)\in\left(\mathbf{R}\times\dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2\cap\Omega_1^c:|k|\sim|k_2|\gg|k_1|,1\ge|k_1|\ge\frac{1}{\lambda}\right\},$$
$$\Omega_6=\left\{(\tau_1,k_1,\tau,k)\in\left(\mathbf{R}\times\dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2\cap\Omega_1^c:|k|\sim|k_1|\gg|k_2|\ge 1\right\},$$
$$\Omega_7=\left\{(\tau_1,k_1,\tau,k)\in\left(\mathbf{R}\times\dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2\cap\Omega_1^c:|k|\sim|k_1|\gg|k_2|,1\ge|k_2|\ge\frac{1}{\lambda}\right\},$$
$$\Omega_8=\left\{(\tau_1,k_1,\tau,k)\in\left(\mathbf{R}\times\dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2\cap\Omega_1^c:|k|\sim|k_1|\sim|k_2|\ge 1\right\}.$$

(1) In region Ω_1. By using Lemma 2.5, from the definition of Z^s, since $\max\{|k_1|,|k|\}\le 1$ and $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le s\le-\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s}&\le C\left\|(1-\partial_x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|k\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right)\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\||k|\|_{l_k^2}\left\|\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\|\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\|\mathscr{F}u_2\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s}\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) In region Ω_2. In this region, we consider (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.

(a) Case $|\sigma|=\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$, we have $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2]\subset D_3$.

When $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_j\subset\Omega_1\cup\Omega_2$ with $j=1,2$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le$

$s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\|(J^s u_1)(J^s u_2)\|_{L_{xt}^2}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2(2j+1)}+\epsilon}} \le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset \Omega_3$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7 and the Young inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}(\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\mathscr{F}u_1 * \left[\langle k\rangle^{2s}\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_1\right] * \left[\langle k\rangle^{-2j}\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|\langle k\rangle^{-2j}\mathscr{F}u_2\|_{l_k^1 L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|\langle k\rangle^{-2j-s}\langle k\rangle^{s}\mathscr{F}u_2\|_{l_k^1 L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|\langle k\rangle^{s}\mathscr{F}u_2\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_2 \subset \Omega_3$, this case can be proved similarly to $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset \Omega_3$.

(b) Case $|\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$, in this case, we consider the following cases

$$(i) : |\sigma_1| > 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}, (ii) : |\sigma_1| \le 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\},$$

respectively.

When (i) occurs: if $\operatorname{supp} u_1 \subset D_1$ which yields that $1 \le |k| \le C$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}(\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}(\langle k\rangle^{s}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{-s-2j+1}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^s\Lambda^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-s-2j+1,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp} u_1 \subset D_2$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2} \le -1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^{(1-2j)s-1}\Lambda^{s+1}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-s-2j+1,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (ii) occurs: we have $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$ or $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$ is valid, this case can be proved similarly to $|\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$, if $\operatorname{supp} u_1 \subset D_1$ which yields that $1 \le |k| \le C$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}(\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|(\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{-s-2j+1}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^s\Lambda^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-s-2j+1,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp} u_1 \subset D_2$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2} \le -1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^{(1-2j)s-1}\Lambda^{s+1}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-s-2j+1,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_3$, then $\mathscr{F}u_2 \subset D_3$, by using the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality,

from Lemma 2.5, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le s\le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s}\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(\langle k\rangle^{s+j+1-\frac{1}{2j}+(2j+2)\epsilon}\mathscr{F}u_1\right)*\mathscr{F}u_2\right\|_{l_k^\infty l_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-3s+1-\frac{1}{2j}-3j+(2j+2)\epsilon}\right\|_{l_k^\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Case $|\sigma_2|=\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$. This case can be proved similarly to case (b).

(3) Region Ω_3. We consider $|k|\le|k_1|^{-2j}$ and $|k_1|^{-2j}<|k|\le 1$, respectively.

When $|k|\le|k_1|^{-2j}$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le s\le-\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s}\le C\left\||k|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[\langle k\rangle^{-(2j-1)}\mathscr{F}u_1*\langle k\rangle^{-(2j-1)}\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{1-2j,0}}\|u_2\|_{Y^{1-2j}}\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{1-2j,0}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1\subset D_1$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le s\le-\frac{j}{2}$, then $\|u\|_{X_{1-2j,0}}\le C\|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}$; if $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1\subset D_2$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le s\le-\frac{j}{2}$; then $\|u\|_{X_{1-2j,0}}\le C\|u\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}$; if $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1\subset D_3$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le s\le-\frac{j}{2}$; then $\|u\|_{X_{1-2j,0}}\le C\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}$, thus, according to the definition of Z^s, we have that

$$\|u_1\|_{X_{1-2j,0}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s}\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.$$

Now we consider the case $|k_1|^{-2j}<|k|\le 1$. In this case, we consider cases (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.

When (a) occurs: in this case $\operatorname{supp}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\subset D_4$, by using the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality and Lemma 2.7, since $|k|\le 1$ and $\frac{s}{j}+1\le 0$ and $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le s\le-\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s}\le C\left\||k|\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\||k|^{1+\frac{s}{j}}\left[(|k|^s\mathscr{F}u_1)*(|k|^s\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[(|k|^s\mathscr{F}u_1)*(|k|^s\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^2}\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,0}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_1$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, then $\|u\|_{X_{s,0}} \le C\|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}$; if $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_2$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, then $\|u\|_{X_{s,0}} \le C\|u\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}$; if $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_3$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, then $\|u\|_{X_{s,0}} \le C\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}$; thus, according to the definition of Z^s, we have that

$$\|u_1\|_{X_{s,0}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.$$

When (b) occurs: we consider the case $|\sigma_1| > 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_2|\}$ and $|\sigma_1| \le 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_2|\}$. When $|\sigma_1| > 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_2|\}$ is valid, we have that $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_j \subset D_1$ with $j=1,2$.

If $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_4$, by by using the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, since $|k| \le 1$ and $1+\frac{s}{j} \ge 0$, by using Lemma 2.7, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j\Big)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\||k|\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\||k|^{1+\frac{s}{j}}\left[(|k|^s\mathscr{F}u_1) * (|k|^s\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[(|k|^s\mathscr{F}u_1) * (|k|^s\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,0}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_5$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j\Big)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\||k|\langle k\rangle^{s}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[(\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * \left(\langle k\rangle^{-s-2j+1}\mathscr{F}u_2\right)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^s\Lambda^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|J^s\Lambda^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}u_1\|_{L_{xt}^2}\|J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma_1| \le 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_2|\}$ is valid, we have $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$ or $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$.

If $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$, then this case an be proved similarly to case $|\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$, we consider $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset \Omega_1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset \Omega_2$, respectively.

When $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset \Omega_1$, if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_5$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\||k|\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^s\Lambda^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-s-2j+1,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_4$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\||k|\langle k\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\||k|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^s\Lambda^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-s-2j+1,\frac{2}{2j+1}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset \Omega_2$, if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_5$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\||k|\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\||k|\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^{(1-2j)s-1}\Lambda^{s+1}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-s-2j+1,\frac{2}{2j+1}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_4$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\||k|\langle k\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\||k|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^{(1-2j)s-1}\Lambda^{s+1}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-s-2j+1,\frac{2}{2j+1}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(4) Region Ω_4. We consider cases (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.

When (a) occurs: if $|\sigma| > 4\max\{|\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$, then $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u_j \subset D_1 \cup D_2$ with $j = 1, 2$ and $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_2$. In this case, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j + \frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} &\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-(2j-1)s}\langle\sigma\rangle^{s}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\ &\le C\left\|(J^s u_1)(J^s u_2)\right\|_{L_{xt}^2} \le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma| \le 4\max\{|\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$, we have that $|\sigma| \sim |\sigma_1|$ or $|\sigma| \sim |\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma| \sim |\sigma_1|$, if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_2$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-(2j-1)s}\langle\sigma\rangle^{s}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\ &\le \left\|(J^s u_1)(J^s u_2)\right\|_{L_{xt}^2}\\ &\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_3$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j + \frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\ &\le \left\|(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\Lambda^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_1)(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-(2j-1)}u_2)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\ &\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma| \sim |\sigma_2|$, if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_2$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-(2j-1)s}\langle\sigma\rangle^{s}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\\ &\le \left\|(J^s u_1)(J^s u_2)\right\|_{L_{xt}^2}\\ &\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_3$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} &\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le \left\|(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-(2j-1)}u_1)(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\Lambda^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_2)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}} \\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b): $|\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$. If $|\sigma_1| > 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}$, then $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_3$. We consider

$$\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_1, \operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_2,$$

respectively.

When $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_1$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} &\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C\left\|(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\Lambda^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_1)(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2)\right\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}} \\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{\frac{s}{j}+1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_2$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} &\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C\left\|(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\Lambda^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_1)(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2)\right\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}} \\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{\frac{s}{j}+1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma_1| \le 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}$, we have that $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$ or $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$, this case can be proved similarly to case $|\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$, if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_1$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j)\right\|_{X^s} &\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le \left\|(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\Lambda^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_1)(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2)\right\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}} \le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if supp $[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_2$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-(2j-1)s}\langle\sigma\rangle^{s}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le \|(J^su_1)(J^su_2)\|_{L_{xt}^2}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if supp $[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_3$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$ and $\epsilon < \frac{1}{100j}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(\langle k\rangle^{s+j+1-\frac{1}{2j}+(2j+2)\epsilon}\mathscr{F}u_1\right) * \mathscr{F}u_2\right\|_{l_k^\infty l_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-3s+1-\frac{1}{2j}-3j+(2j+2)\epsilon}\right\|_{l_k^\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(c): $|\sigma_2| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$. In this case, we consider $|\sigma_2| \ge 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|\}$ and $|\sigma_2| < 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|\}$, respectively.

When $|\sigma_2| \ge 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|\}$, $\mathscr{F}u_2 \subset D_2$ and $[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_1 \bigcup D_2$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[(J^{-s-2j+1}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{(1-2j)s-1}\Lambda^{s+1}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le \|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\|u_2\|_{X^{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma_2| < 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|\}$, we have $|\sigma_2| \sim |\sigma_1|$ or $|\sigma_2| \sim |\sigma|$.

Case $|\sigma_2| \sim |\sigma_1|$ can be proved simialrly to $|\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$.

$|\sigma_2| \sim |\sigma|$ can be proved simialrly to $|\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$.

(5) In region Ω_5. In this case, we consider the case $|k_1| \le |k|^{-2j}$ and $|k|^{-2j} < |k_1| \le 1$, respectively.

When $|k_1| \leq |k|^{-2j}$, by using the Young inequality and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality as well as Lemmas 2.5 2.7, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j) \right\|_{X^s} &\leq C \left\| \langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}} [\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| \left[(|k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^s \mathscr{F}u_2) \right] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| |k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1 \right\|_{l_k^1 l_\tau^2} \|u_2\|_{Y^s} \\
&\leq C\|\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_n^2 L_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y^s} \leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|k|^{-2j} \leq |k_1| \leq 1$, we consider cases (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.
When (a) occurs: by using the Young inequality and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality as well as Lemma 2.7, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j) \right\|_{X^s} &\leq C \left\| \langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}} [\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| \left[(|k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^s \mathscr{F}u_2) \right] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| |k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1 \right\|_{l_k^1 l_\tau^2} \|u_2\|_{Y^s} \\
&\leq C\|\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y^s} \leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (b) occurs: by using the Young inequality and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Lemma 2.7, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j) \right\|_{X^s} &\leq C \left\| \langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}} [\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| \left[(|k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^s \mathscr{F}u_2) \right] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| |k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1 \right\|_{l_k^1 l_\tau^2} \|u_2\|_{Y^s} \\
&\leq C\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y^s} \\
&\leq C\|u_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s} \leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (c) occurs: in this case $\langle k_2\rangle^{-s}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}} \leq |k_1|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\langle k_2\rangle^{-s-1}$, by using the Young

inequality and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\leq s\leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s}\leq C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\left\|\left[(|k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1)*(\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\left\||k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1\right\|_{l_k^1l_\tau^1}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\leq C\|\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\leq C\|u_1\|_{Y^s}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(6)In region Ω_6. This region can be proved similarly to Ω_4.

(7)In region Ω_7. This region can be proved similarly to Ω_5.

(8)In region Ω_8. We consider cases (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.

When (a) occurs: $\operatorname{supp}(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2)\subset D_3$. If $|\sigma|>4\max\{|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$, then $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_j\subset D_1\cup D_2$ with $j=1,2$. In this case, by using Lemma 2.5, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\leq s\leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s}\leq C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\left\|(J^su_1)(J^su_2)\right\|_{L_{xt}^2}\\
&\leq C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2(2j+1)}+\epsilon}}\\
&\leq C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|\sigma|\leq 4\max\{|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$, then we have $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_1|$ or $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_1|$, $\operatorname{supp}(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2)\subset D_3$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\leq s\leq -\frac{j}{2}$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s}\leq C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}}\left(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right)\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\left\|\left[\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}+1}\mathscr{F}u_1\right]*\left[\langle k\rangle^{-2j}\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|\langle k\rangle^{-2j}\mathscr{F}u_2\|_{l_k^1L_\tau^1}\\
&\leq C\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|\langle k\rangle^{s}\mathscr{F}u_2\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_2|$, this case can be proved similarly to case $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_1|$.

When (b) occurs: if $|\sigma_1| > 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}$ which yields $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_3$ and in this case, $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_1 \cup D_2$ and $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}u_2 \subset D_1 \cup D_2$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.3, since $-j + \frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j\Big) \right\|_{X^s} \le C \left\| \langle k\rangle^{s+1} \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}} \left(\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right) \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C \left\| (J^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\Lambda^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_1)(J^{-s-(2j-1)}u_2) \right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}} \le C\|u_1\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\le C \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|\sigma_1| \le 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}$, we have $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$ or $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$, this case can be proved similarly to $|\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$.

If $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$, we can assume that $|\sigma| \le C|k|^{2j+1}$, since $-j + \frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j\Big) \right\|_{X^s} \le C \left\| \langle k\rangle^{s+1} \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}} \left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C \left\| \langle k\rangle^{s+\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon} \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right] \right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^\infty} \\
&\le C \left\| \left(\langle k\rangle^{s+j+1-\frac{1}{2j}+(2j+2)\epsilon} \mathscr{F}u_1 \right) * \mathscr{F}u_2 \right\|_{l_k^\infty l_\tau^\infty} \\
&\le C \left\| \langle k\rangle^{-3s+1-\frac{1}{2j}-3j+(2j+2)\epsilon} \right\|_{l_k^\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|u_j\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \\
&\le C \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|u_j\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \le C \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $j \ge 2$ and $-j + \frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$. Then*

$$\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j\Big) \right\|_{Z^s} \le C \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|u_j\|_{Z^s}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Obviously, $\left(\mathbf{R} \times \dot{Z}_\lambda\right)^2 \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{8} \Omega_j$, where $\Omega_j (1 \le j \le 8)$ are defined as in Lemma 3.1.

(1) In region Ω_1. By using the Lemma 2.5 and the Hölder inequality as well as the

Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\||k|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left(\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right)\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\||k|\|_{l_k^2}\left\|\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\|\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\|\mathscr{F}u_2\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) In region Ω_2. In this case, we consider (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.

(a) Case $|\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$, by using the Young inequality and Lemma 2.7, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-1}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\left\|(\langle k\rangle^{-j}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{-j}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^1} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Y^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Case $|\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$, we consider the following cases:

$$(i) : |\sigma_1| > 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}, (ii) : |\sigma_1| \le 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\},$$

respectively.

When (i) occurs: if $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_1$ which yields that $1 \le |k| \le C$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s}\\
&\le C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}(\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}(\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{-s-2j+1}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^s\Lambda^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if supp $\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_2$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2} \le -1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s}\\
&\le C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le \left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^{(1-2j)s-1}\Lambda^{s+1}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (ii) occurs: we have $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$ or $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$ is valid, this case can be proved similarly to $|\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$, if supp $\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_1$ which leads to that $1 \le |k| \le C$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s} \le C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}(\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[(\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{-s-2j+1}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(J^s\Lambda^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}}u_1\right)\left(J^{-s-2j+1}u_2\right)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if supp $\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_2$, we can assume that supp $\mathscr{F}u_2 \subset D_2$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2} \le -1$, we can assume that $|\sigma| \le C|k_1|^{2j+1}$, by using the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, since $\langle k_1\rangle^{(2j-1)s+1}\langle\sigma_1\rangle^{-s-1} \le C\langle k_1\rangle^{-2s-2j}$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have

that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s} \le C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(\langle k\rangle^{s+j+1-\frac{1}{2j}+(2j+2)\epsilon}\mathscr{F}u_1\right) * \mathscr{F}u_2\right\|_{l_k^\infty l_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-3s+1-\frac{1}{2j}-3j+(2j+2)\epsilon}\right\|_{l_k^\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Case $|\sigma_2| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$. This case can be proved similarly to case (b).

(3) Region Ω_3. We consider $|k| \le |k_1|^{-2j}$ and $|k_1|^{-2j} < |k| \le 1$, respectively.

When $|k| \le |k_1|^{-2j}$, by using the Young inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\||k|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[(\langle k\rangle^{-(2j-1)}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{-(2j-1)}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{1-2j,0}}\|u_2\|_{Y^{1-2j}} \le C\|u_1\|_{X_{1-2j,0}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|k_1|^{-2j} < |k| \le 1$, we consider cases (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.

When (a) occurs: by using the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\||k|\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{-1}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^s\left[\langle k\rangle^{-j}\mathscr{F}u_1 * \langle k\rangle^{-j}\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[(\langle k\rangle^{-j}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{-j}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|\langle k\rangle^{-j}\mathscr{F}u_j\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Y^s} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (b) occurs: we consider $|\sigma_1| > 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}$ and $|\sigma_1| \le 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}$, respectively.

When $|\sigma_1| > 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}$, we have $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F} u_1 \subset D_1$ and $X_{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \hookrightarrow Y^s$, by using the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x (\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j) \right\|_{Y^s} \le C \left\| |k| \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} [\mathscr{F} u_1 * \mathscr{F} u_2] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C \left\| |k|^{\frac{1}{2j}} \left(\langle k\rangle^s \langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}} \mathscr{F} u_1 \right) * \left(\langle k\rangle^{-s-2j+1} \mathscr{F} u_2 \right) \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C \left\| \left(\langle k\rangle^s \langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}} \mathscr{F} u_1 \right) * \left(\langle k\rangle^{-s-2j+1} \mathscr{F} u_2 \right) \right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^2} \le C \|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}} \|u_2\|_{Y^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma_1| \le 4\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_2|\}$, we have that $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$ or $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma|$, this case can be proved similarly to case $|\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\}$.

When $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$, if $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F} u_j \subset D_1$ with $j = 1, 2$, by using $X_{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \hookrightarrow Y^s$ and the Young inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x (\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j) \right\|_{Y^s} \le C \left\| |k| \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} [\mathscr{F} u_1 * \mathscr{F} u_2] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C \left\| |k|^{\frac{1}{2j}} \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \left(\langle k\rangle^s \langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}} \mathscr{F} u_1 \right) * \left(\langle k\rangle^{-s-2j+1} \mathscr{F} u_2 \right) \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C \left\| \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \left(\langle k\rangle^s \langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{2j-1}{2j}} \mathscr{F} u_1 \right) * \left(\langle k\rangle^{-s-2j+1} \mathscr{F} u_2 \right) \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C \|u_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{2j-1}{2j}}} \|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}} \le C \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F} u_j \subset D_2$, by using $X_{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \hookrightarrow Y^s$ and the Young inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \Lambda^{-1}\partial_x (\prod_{j=1}^{2} u_j) \right\|_{Y^s} \le C \left\| |k| \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} [\mathscr{F} u_1 * \mathscr{F} u_2] \right\|_{l_k^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\le C \left\| \left(\langle k\rangle^{(1-2j)s-1} \langle\sigma\rangle^{s+1} \mathscr{F} u_1 \right) * \left(\langle k\rangle^{-2s-2j} \mathscr{F} u_2 \right) \right\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \\
&\le C \|u_1\|_{X^{(1-2j)s,s+1}} \|u\|_{X_{-2s-2j,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \\
&\le C \|u_1\|_{X_{(1-2j)s,s+1}} \|u_2\|_{X_{-2s-2j,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \\
&\le C \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|u_j\|_{X_{(1-2j)s,s+1}} \le C \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F} u_j \subset D_3$, by using $X_{s,\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \hookrightarrow Y^s$ and the Young inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le$

$-\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\||k|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}+1}\mathscr{F}u_1\right) * \left(\langle k\rangle^{-2s-2j}\mathscr{F}u_2\right)\right\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\
&\le C\left\|u_1\right\|_{X^{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\left\|u\right\|_{X_{-2s-2j,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,-\frac{s}{j}-1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{-2s-2j,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(4) Region Ω_4. We consider cases (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.

When (a) occurs: we consider case $|\sigma| > 4\max\{|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$ and $|\sigma| \le 4\max\{|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$, respectively.

If $|\sigma| > 4\max\{|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$, then $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_j \subset D_1\cup D_2$ with $j=1,2$ and $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_2$. In this case, by using Lemma 2.5, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-1}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1-2j}|k_1|^{-1}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[(\langle k\rangle^{-2j}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{s}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\|\langle k\rangle^{-2j}\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^1L_\tau^1}\|u_2\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Y^s} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma| \le 4\max\{|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$, we have $|\sigma| \sim |\sigma_1|$ or $|\sigma| \sim |\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma| \sim |\sigma_1|$, if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_2$, by using the Young inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-1}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\\
&\le \left\|(\langle k\rangle^{-2j}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^{s}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{L_k^2l_\tau^1}\\
&\le C\|\langle k\rangle^{-2j}\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^1L_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y_s} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s};
\end{aligned}$$

if $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2] \subset D_3$, $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_3$, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$,

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s} \le C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le \left\|(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\Lambda^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_1)(J^{-s-(2j-1)}u_2)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_2|$, this case can be proved similarly to case $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_1|$.

(b): $|\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$. If $|\sigma_1| > 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_2|\}$, then $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_3$ and $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_2 \subset D_1\cup D_2$, In this case, by using Lemma 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le s\le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s} \le C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_1)(J^{s-(2j-1)}u_2)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma_1|\le 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_2|\}$, we have that $|\sigma_1|\sim|\sigma|$ or $|\sigma_1|\sim|\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma_1|\sim|\sigma|$, this case can be proved similarly to case $|\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$.

When $|\sigma_1|\sim|\sigma_2|$, we have $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1 \subset D_3$ and $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_2 \subset D_2\bigcup D_3$.

When $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_2 \subset D_2$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\le s\le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s} \le C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[(|k|\mathscr{F}u_1) * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\left[(|k|\mathscr{F}u_1) * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+j-\frac{1}{2j}+(2j+2)\epsilon}\left((|k|\mathscr{F}u_1) * \mathscr{F}u_2\right)\right\|_{l_k^\infty l_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-3s+1-\frac{1}{2j}-3j+(2j+2)\epsilon}\right\|_{l_k^\infty}\|u_1\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{(1-2j)s-1,s+1}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_2 \subset D_3$, by using Lemma 2.5, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s} \le C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\left(\langle k\rangle^{s+j+1-\frac{1}{2j}+(2j+2)\epsilon}\mathscr{F}u_1\right) * \mathscr{F}u_2\right\|_{l_k^\infty l_\tau^\infty}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-3s+1-\frac{1}{2j}-3j+(2j+2)\epsilon}\right\|_{l_k^\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(5) In region Ω_5. In this region, we consider the case $|k_1| \le |k|^{-2j}$ and $|k|^{-2j} < |k_1| \le 1$, respectively.

When $|k_1| \le |k|^{-2j}$, by using Lemma 2.5, the Young inequality and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[(|k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^s\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\||k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1\right\|_{l_k^1l_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y^s}\\
&\le C\|\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_n^2L_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y^s} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|k|^{-2j} < |k_1| \le 1$. We consider cases (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.

When (a) occurs: by using Lemma 2.5, the Young inequality and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \le s \le -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{Y^s} \le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[(|k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1) * (\langle k\rangle^s\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\le C\left\||k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1\right\|_{l_k^1l_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y^s}\\
&\le C\|\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y^s} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (b) occurs: by using the Lemma 2.5, Young inequality and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\leq s\leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{Y^s}\leq C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\left\|\left[(|k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1)*(\langle k\rangle^s\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\left\||k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1\right\|_{l_k^1l_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y^s}\\
&\leq C\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\|u_2\|_{Y^s}\leq C\|u_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2j}}}\|u_2\|_{Y^s}\\
&\leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (c) occurs: by using Lemma 2.5, the Young inequality and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\leq s\leq -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{Y^s}\leq C\left\|(1-\partial_x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{X^s}\leq C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\left\|\left[(|k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1)*(\langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\left\||k|^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\mathscr{F}u_1\right\|_{l_k^1l_\tau^1}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\leq C\|\mathscr{F}u_1\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^1}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\leq C\|u_1\|_{Y^s}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2j}}}\leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(6)In region Ω_6. This region can be proved similarly to Ω_4.

(7)In region Ω_7. This region can be proved similarly to Ω_7.

(8)In region Ω_8. We consider cases (a)-(c) of Lemma 2.7, respectively.

When (a) occurs: $\operatorname{supp}(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2)\subset D_3$. If $|\sigma|>4\max\{|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$, then $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_j\subset D_1\cup D_2$ with $j=1,2$. In this case, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.3, since $-j+\frac{1}{2}\leq s\leq -\frac{j}{2}$,

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-1}(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l^2_kL^1_\tau}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s-2j}(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l^2_kL^1_\tau}\\
&\le C\|\langle k\rangle^{-2j}u_1\|_{L^1_kL^1_\tau}\|\langle k\rangle^{s}u_1\|_{L^2_kL^1_\tau}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Y^s}\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|\sigma|\le 4\max\{|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$, we have $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_1|$ or $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_1|$. In this case, $\operatorname{supp}(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2)\subset D_3$, by using $X_{s,\frac12+\epsilon}\hookrightarrow Y^s$, since $-j+\frac12\le s\le-\frac{j}{2}$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s}\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-1}(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l^2_kL^2_\tau}\\
&\le C\left\|(\langle k\rangle^{-2j}\mathscr{F}u_1)*(\langle k\rangle^{s}\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l^2_kL^2_\tau}\\
&\le C\left\|\left[\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{s}{j}+1}\mathscr{F}u_1\right]*\left[\langle k\rangle^{-s-4j}\mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l^2_kL^1_\tau}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|\langle k\rangle^{-s-4j}\mathscr{F}u_2\|_{l^1_kL^1_\tau}\\
&\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|\langle k\rangle^{s}\mathscr{F}u_2\|_{l^2_kL^1_\tau}\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_2|$, this case can be proved similarly to case $|\sigma|\sim|\sigma_1|$.

When (b) occurs: if $|\sigma_1|>4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_2|\}$ which yields $\operatorname{supp}\mathscr{F}u_1\subset D_3$ and in this case, $\operatorname{supp}[\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2]\subset D_1\cup D_2$ and $\mathscr{F}u_2\subset D_1\cup D_2$, by using Lemmas 2.5, 2.3, since $-j+\frac12\le s\le-\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s}\le C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s}\le C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\\
&\le C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}(\mathscr{F}u_1*\mathscr{F}u_2)\right\|_{l^2_kL^2_\tau}\\
&\le C\left\|(J^{-\frac{s}{j}-1}\Lambda^{\frac{s}{j}+1}u_1)(J^{-s-(2j-1)}u_2)\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2j}}}\le C\|u_1\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\frac12}}\\
&\le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|\sigma_1|\le 4\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_2|\}$, we have $|\sigma_1|\sim|\sigma|$ or $|\sigma_1|\sim|\sigma_2|$.

When $|\sigma_1|\sim|\sigma|$, this case can be proved similarly to $|\sigma|=\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}$.

If $|\sigma_1| \sim |\sigma_2|$, in this case, we can assume that $|\sigma| \leq C|k|^{2j+1}$, since $-j+\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$ and $\epsilon < \frac{1}{100j}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Y^s} \leq C\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{Z^s} \leq C\left\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2j}}} \\
&\leq C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^2L_\tau^2} \\
&\leq C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{s+\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2j}+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\left[\mathscr{F}u_1 * \mathscr{F}u_2\right]\right\|_{l_k^\infty L_\tau^\infty} \\
&\leq C\left\|\left(\langle k\rangle^{s+j+1-\frac{1}{2j}+(2j+2)\epsilon}\mathscr{F}u_1\right) * \mathscr{F}u_2\right\|_{l_k^\infty l_\tau^\infty} \\
&\leq C\left\|\langle k\rangle^{-3s+1-\frac{1}{2j}-3j+(2j+2)\epsilon}\right\|_{l_k^\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \\
&\leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{X_{-\frac{s}{j}-1,\frac{s}{j}+1}} \leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $j \geq 2$ and $-j+\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq -\frac{j}{2}$. Then*

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x(\prod_{j=1}^{2}u_j)\right\|_{Z^s} \leq C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j\|_{Z^s}, \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Combining Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 with the definition of Z^s, we have that Lemma 3.3.

We have completed the proof of Lemma 3.3.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. We define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(u) = \eta(t)S(t)\phi - \frac{1}{2}\eta(t)\int_0^t S(t-t')\eta(t')\partial_x(u^2)dt', \\
&B = \left\{u \in Z^s : \quad \|u\|_{Z^s} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemmas 2.4, 2.6, 3.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Phi(u)\|_{Z^s} \leq \|S(t)\phi\|_{Z^s} + \left\|-\frac{1}{2}\eta(t)\int_0^t S(t-t')\eta(t')\partial_x(u^2)dt'\right\|_{Z^s} \\
&\leq C_1\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})} + C\left\|\eta(t)\partial_x(u^2)\right\|_{Z^s} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})} + C\|u\|_{Z^s}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For $u, v \in B$, provided that $\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}$ is sufficiently small, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Phi(u) - \Phi(v)\|_{Z^s} \\
&\leq C\left(\|u\|_{Z^s} + \|v\|_{Z^s}\right)\|u - v\|_{Z^s} \\
&\leq 2C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}\|u - v\|_{Z^s} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\|_{Z^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

For large initial data, if $u(x,t)$ is the solution to (1.1)-(1.2), then $u_\mu(x,t) := \mu^{-2j}u\left(\frac{x}{\mu}, \frac{t}{\mu^{2j}}\right)$ is the solution to

$$\partial_t u_\mu + (-1)^{j+1}\partial_x^{2j+1}u_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x\left[(u_\mu)^2\right] = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

$$u_\mu(x,0) = \mu^{-2j}u_0\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right), \quad x \in \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/2\lambda\mu\pi, \tag{4.2}$$

since $\|u_\mu(x,0)\|_{H^s} \leq C\mu^{-2j+\frac{1}{2}-s}\|u_0\|_{H^s}$, we take μ sufficiently large, then $\|u_\lambda(x,0)\|_{H^s}$ is sufficiently small, which is reduced to the case of small initial data.

The proof of the rest of Theorem 1.1 can be found in [11, 19], thus, we omit the process.

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

This section is devoed to presenting Theorem 1.2. Following the method of [4], it suffices to derive that

$$\|A_3(u_0)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq C\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}^3 \tag{5.1}$$

fails when $s < -j + \frac{1}{2}$, with $j \geq 2, j \in Z$. where

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_3(u_0) = 2\int_0^t S(t-s)\partial_x(u_1(s)A_2(u_0)(s), \\
&u_1(t) = S(t)u_0, \\
&A_2(u_0) = \int_0^t S(t-s)\partial_x\left[(u_1(s))^2\right]ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$u_0 = \phi_N = N^{-s}\left(\chi_N(k) + \chi_{-N}(k)\right).$$

It is easily checked that $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s} \sim 1$. By a direct computation, we derive that

$$\mathscr{F}_x A_2(u_0)(t) = \sum_{k_1 \neq 0, k \neq k_1} k\frac{e^{itp(k)} - e^{itp(k_1)+itp(k-k_1)}}{q_0(k_1, k-k_1)}\mathscr{F}_x u_0(k_1)\mathscr{F}_x u_0(k-k_1), \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$q_0(k_1, k-k_1) = k_1^{2j+1} + k_2^{2j+1} - k^{2j+1},$$

$$A_3(u_0) = 2\sum_{k_1\neq 0}\sum_{k_2\neq 0}\sum_{k_3\neq 0} e^{(k_1+k_2+k_3)x+ip(k_1+k_2+k_3)t}\left(-\frac{1-e^{-iq_1t}}{q_1}+\frac{1-e^{-iq_2t}}{q_2}\right)$$
$$\times\frac{(k_1+k_2+k_3)(k_2+k_3)}{q_0(k_2,k_3)}\prod_{j=1}^{3}\mathscr{F}_x u_0(k_j),$$

and

$$q_1 = k_1^{2j+1} + k_2^{2j+1} + k_3^{2j+1} - k^{2j+1},$$
$$q_2 = k_1^{2j+1} + (k_2+k_3)^{2j+1} - k^{2j+1}.$$

Obviously, when $k_1 = -N$ and $k_2 = k_3 = N$, q_2 does not vanish but q_1 vanishes.

$$CN^{-2s-(2j-1)} \leq \|A_3(u_0)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \sim 1. \tag{5.3}$$

When $s < -j + \frac{1}{2}$, letting $N \to +\infty$ yields that the left hand side of (5.3) goes to $+\infty$. Thus, we obtain the contradiction.

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 11171116 and 11401180 and 11371367. The first author is also supported by the Young core Teachers program of Henan Normal University and 15A110033.

References

References

[1] I. Bejenaru, T. Tao Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness results for a quadratic non-linear Schrödinger equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* 233(2006), 228-259.

[2] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, part I: Schrödinger equations, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 3(1993), 107-156.

[3] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, part II: The KdV equation, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 3(1993), 209-262.

[4] J. Bourgain, Periodic Korteweg de vries equation with measures as initial data, *Sel. Math.* 3(1997), 115-159.

[5] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao, Sharp global well-posedness for KdV and modified KdV on R and T, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16(2003), 705-749.

[6] Z. H. Guo, Global well-posedness of KortewegCde Vries equation in $H^{-3,4}(\mathbf{R})$, *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 91(2009), 583-597.

[7] H. Hirayama, LocaL well-posedness for the periodic higher order KdV type equations, *Nonlinear Differential equations and applications,* 19(2012), 677-693.

[8] A. D. Ionescu, C. E. Kenig, Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in low-regularity spaces, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20(2007), 753-798.

[9] A. D. Ionescu, C. E. Kenig, D. Tataru, Global well-posedness of the KP-I initial-value problem in the energy space, *Invent. Math.* 173(2008), 265-304.

[10] T. Kappeler and P. Topalov, Global wellposedness of KdV in $H^{-1}(\mathbf{T}, \mathrm{R})$, *Duke Math. J.* 135(2006), 327-360.

[11] T. K. Kato, Local well-posedness for Kawahara equation, Adv. Diff. Equ. 16(2011), 257-287.

[12] T. Kato, Low regularity well-posedness for the periodic Kawahara equation, *Diff. Int. Eqns.* 25(2012), no. 11-12, 1011-1036.

[13] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9(1996), 573-603.

[14] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, On the ill-posedness of some canonical dispersive equations, *Duke Math. J.* 106(2001), 617-633.

[15] N. Kishimoto, Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation at the critical regularity, *Diff. Int. Eqns.* 22(2009), 447-464.

[16] S. M. Li, W. Yan, Y, S. Li, J. H. Huang, The Cauchy problem for a higher order shallow water type equation on the circle, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 259(2015), 4863-4896.

[17] Y. S. Li, W. Yan, X. Y. Yang, Well-posedness of a higher order modified Camassa-Holm equation in spaces of low regularity, *J. Evol. Eqns.* 10(2010), 465-486.

[18] L. Molinet, Sharp ill-posedness results for the KdV and mKdV equations on the torus, Advances in Mathematics, 230(2012), 1895-1930.

[19] T. Muramatu, S. Taoka, The initial data value problem for the 1-D semilinear Schrödinger equation in the Besov space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* 56(2004), 853-888.

[20] T. Tao, Multilinear weighted convolution of L^2 functions, and applicationsto non-linear dispersive equations, *Amer. J. Math.,* 123(2001), 839-908.